

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opub.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,016	02/23/2005	George Telfer	257.038	4220
29166 PERRET DOI	7590 02/11/200 SE	EXAM	EXAMINER	
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION P.O. DIRAWER 3408 LAFAYETTE, LA 70502-3408			COY, NICOLE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	3672		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/510,016	TELFER, GEORGE					
Examiner	Art Unit					
NICOLE COY	3672					
	10/510,016 Examiner	10/510,016 TELFER, GEORGE Examiner Art Unit				

	NICOLE COY	3672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one or the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued or 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of thes set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMELINATION. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	t prior to the data of filing a brief						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (b) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (d) They are not deemed to place the application in better (d) They are not deemed to place the application in better (d) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in the applicati	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	16 133063 101				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. \(\begin{align*}\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but As noted in the final office action, Applicant is not exclud structure claimed in claim 1, and the method steps recite the reverse circulation device of Davis. In addition, Davi respective inlet ports. With respect to the dependent claimed line is believed that Davis teaches the claimed line.	ing a reverse circulation device in to d in claim 10. There is nothing in a steaches projections that are capains, Applicant's remarks are not politications.	he claims. Davis tead either of these claims able of directing the jui	thes the that excludes nk into the				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							

//William P Neuder// Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080206